ISSN:0976-0172

Journal of Bioscience And Technology
www.jbstonline.com

Analysis of the Nonlocal Cauchy Problem in Semilinear Fractional Evolution Equations

Mrs.L.Bala Sarswathi

Assistant Professor, Department of H&S,
Malla Reddy College of Engineering for Women.,
Maisammaguda., Medchal., TS, India

Article Info

Received: 29-05-2022 Revised: 17-07-2022 Accepted: 28-07-2022

Abstract:

In this paper, we develop the approach and techniques of [Boucherif A., Precup R., Semilinear evolution equations with nonlocal initial conditions, Dynam. Systems Appl., 2007, 16(3), 507–516], [Zhou Y., Jiao F., Nonlocal Cauchy problem for fractional evolution equations, Nonlinar Anal. Real World Appl., 2010, 11(5), 4465–4475] to deal with nonlocal Cauchy problem for semilinear fractional order evolution equations. We present two new sufficient conditions on existence of mild solutions. The first result relies on a growth condition on the whole time interval via Schaefer fixed point theorem. The second result relies on a growth condition splitted into two parts, one for the subinterval containing the points associated with the nonlocal conditions, and the other for the rest of the interval via O'Regan fixed point theorem

MSC: 26A33, 34A12, 47D06, 34G20

Keywords: Fractional order evolution equations • Nonlocal Cauchy problem • Mild solution • Existence © Versita Sp. z o.o.

1. Introduction

The nonlocal condition has a better effect on the solution and is more precise for physical measurements than the classical condition alone. For the contribution to the nonlocal Cauchy problem for nonlinear evolution equations we refer the reader to Byszewski [5, 6], Jackson [14], Deng [8], Liang et al. [17], Ntouyas and Tsamatos [25] and other papers (see for instance [4, 7, 11–13, 18, 30, 35] and references therein). Boucherif and Precup [2] explored a new approach and conditions to study existence of solutions to the following initial value problem for first order differential equations with nonlocal conditions:

$$x'(t) = F(t, x(t)), \qquad \text{for a.e.} \quad t \in J = [0, 1],$$
$$x(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k x(t_k) = 0, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where F : J ×R → R is a given function and ak are real numbers with Pm k=1 ak 6= −1 and tk , k = 1, 2, . . . , m, are given points satisfying 0 < t1 ≤ t2 ≤ . . . ≤ tm < 1. The idea was to put less restrictive

ISSN:0976-0172

Journal of Bioscience And Technology
www.jbstonline.com

conditions on F by splitting the growth condition on F into two parts, one for t ∈ [0, tm] and the other for t ∈ [tm, 1]. In [3] Boucherif and Precup adopted the idea of [2] via fixed point methods and presented existence results for mild solutions to the following nonlocal Cauchy problem for first order evolution equations:

$$x'(t) + Ax(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in J,$$
$$x(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k x(t_k) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m,$$

where $A: D(A) \subseteq X \to X$ is the generator of a C_0-semigroup $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ on a Banach space X and $f: J \times X$ a given function.

In [23, 24] Nica and Precup developed further the approach and techniques of [2] and applied them in order to st nonlocal Cauchy problem for first order nonlinear differential systems.

Recently, fractional order differential equations found application in studies related with viscoelasticity, electri cuits, nonlinear oscillation of earthquake and etc. There appeared a number monographs which provide with t theoretical tools for the qualitative analysis of fractional order differential equations, and at the same time s interconnection as well as the contrast between integer order differential models and fractional order differential [1, 9, 15, 16, 19, 20, 27, 29].

A pioneering work on existence of solutions to the following initial value problem for fractional order differential eq with nonlocal conditions:

$$^{C}D_{0,t}^{\alpha} x(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad \alpha \in (0, 1), \quad t \in J,$$
$$x(0) + G(u) = x_0, \quad x_0 \in X,$$

where the symbol $^{C}D_{0,t}^{\alpha}$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative of order α with the lower limit zero, $f: J$ the nonlocal term $G: C(J, X) \to X$, is due to N'Guérékata [21]. In [22] N'Guérékata noted that the results only in finite dimensional spaces. Dong et al. [10] revisited the above problem and presented some new ex under certain suitable conditions, extending the results of [21] to infinite dimensional spaces.

Zhou and Jiao [36] studied the following nonlocal Cauchy problem for fractional order evolution equation:

$$^{C}D_{0,t}^{\alpha} x(t) = Ax(t) + f(t, x(t)), \quad \alpha \in (0, 1), \quad t \in J,$$
$$x(0) + G(x) = x_0, \quad x_0 \in X.$$

They gave a suitable definition of a mild solution associated with characte established existence results in the case when f and G satisfy Lipschitz Banach and Krasnoselskii fixed point theorems.

Motivated by [2, 3, 33, 34, 36] we investigate existence of mild solutions to order evolution equations with nonlocal conditions:

$$^{C}D_{0,t}^{\alpha} x(t) = Ax(t) + f(t, x(t)), \quad \alpha \in (0, 1$$
$$x(0) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k x(t_k), \quad k = 1, 2,$$

We develop the approach and techniques from the above papers and establ and weak assumptions on f by utilizing fractional calculus and Schaefer ar suitable definition of a mild solution to equation (1) by introducing a bound first existence result relies on a growth condition on J and the second one parts, one for $[0, t_m]$, and the other for $[t_m, 1]$. Our assumptions on f are n imposed in [34, 36].

2. Preliminaries

Let $C(J, X)$ be the Banach space of all X-valued continuous functions from J into X endowed with the norm $\|x\|_{C(J,X)} = \sup_{t \in J} \|x(t)\|$. For brevity, we denote $\|x\|_C = \|x\|_{C(J,X)}$.

Definition 2.1 ([15]).
The fractional integral of order γ with the lower limit $a \in \mathbb{R}$ for a function $f: [a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is

$$I_{a,t}^{\gamma} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^t \frac{f(s)}{(t-s)^{1-\gamma}} ds, \quad t > a, \quad \gamma > 0,$$

provided that the righthand side is point-wise defined on $[a, \infty)$, where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function. The Riemann-Liouville derivative of order γ with the lower limit zero for a function $f: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is

$$^{L}D_{0,t}^{\gamma} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\gamma)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_0^t \frac{f(s)}{(t-s)^{\gamma+1-n}} ds, \quad t > 0, \quad n-1 < \gamma < n.$$

The Caputo derivative of order γ for a function $f: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is

$$^{C}D_{0,t}^{\gamma} f(t) = {}^{L}D_{0,t}^{\gamma} \left(f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^k}{k!} f^{(k)}(0) \right), \quad t > 0, \quad n-1 < \gamma < n.$$

Remark 2.2.
If f is an abstract function with values in X, then the integrals in the definition are understood in Bochner's sense.

Suppose $M = \sup_{t \geq 0} \|T(t)\|$ and define

$$\mathcal{T}(t) = \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) T(t^\alpha \theta)\, d\theta, \quad \mathcal{S}(t) = \alpha \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) T(t^\alpha \theta)\, d\theta, \quad t \geq 0,$$
$$\xi_\alpha(\theta) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \theta^{-1-1/\alpha} \varpi_\alpha(\theta^{-1/\alpha}) \geq 0,$$
$$\varpi_\alpha(\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \theta^{-n\alpha-1} \frac{\Gamma(n\alpha+1)}{n!} \sin(n\pi\alpha), \quad \theta \in (0, \infty),$$

ISSN:0976-0172

Journal of Bioscience And Technology
www.jbstonline.com

where ξ_α is a probability density function defined on $(0,\infty)$, that is

$$\xi_\alpha(\theta) \geq 0, \quad \theta \in (0,\infty), \quad \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta)\,d\theta = 1.$$

In a recent paper, Zhou and Jiao [37] gave some basic properties of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ which will play an important rol sequel.

Lemma 2.3 ([37, Lemmas 3.2–3.4]).

(i) *For any fixed $t \geq 0$ and any $x \in X$, $\|\mathcal{T}(t)x\| \leq M\|x\|$ and $\|\mathcal{S}(t)x\| \leq M\|x\|/\Gamma(\alpha)$.*

(ii) *$\{\mathcal{T}(t): t \geq 0\}$ and $\{\mathcal{S}(t): t \geq 0\}$ are strongly continuous.*

(iii) *For each $t > 0$, $\mathcal{T}(t)$ and $\mathcal{S}(t)$ are compact operators if $T(t)$ is compact.*

Further properties of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ were explored by Wang and Zhou [31, 32].

Suppose that there exists the bounded operator $B: X \to X$ given by

$$B = \left[I - \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k \mathcal{T}(t_k)\right]^{-1}.$$

Applying [33, Theorem 3.3, Remark 3.4] we can give two sufficient conditions for the existence and boundednes operator B.

Lemma 2.4.
The operator B defined in (2) exists and is bounded if one of the following two conditions holds:

(C_1) *there are real numbers a_k such that*

$$M\sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_k| < 1;$$

(C_2) *$T(t)$ is compact for each $t > 0$ and the homogeneous linear nonlocal problem*

$${}^{C}D_{0,t}^{\alpha}x(t) = Ax(t), \quad \alpha \in (0,1), \quad t \in J, \qquad x(0) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k x(t_k),$$

has no non-trivial mild solutions.

Proof. Under assumption (C_1), from Lemma 2.3(i) and (3) we have

$$\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k \mathcal{T}(t_k)\right\| \leq M\sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_k| < 1.$$

Thus by the Neumann theorem, B exists and it is bounded. Under assumption (C_2), it is obvious that m to (4) have the form $x(t) = \mathcal{T}(t)x(0)$, hence

$$x(0) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k x(t_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k \mathcal{T}(t_k)x(0).$$

By Lemma 2.3(iii), $\mathcal{T}(t_k)$ is compact for each $t_k > 0$, $k = 1,2,\ldots,m$. Thus $\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k \mathcal{T}(t_k)$ is also compact. Since p has no non-trivial mild solutions, one obtains the desired result applying the Fredholm alternative theorem.

Similarly to [36], one can introduce the following definition of mild solutions to (1).

Definition 2.5.
A function $x \in C(J,X)$ is called a mild solution to (1) if it satisfies the following equation:

$$x(t) = \mathcal{T}(t)\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k B(g(t_k)) + g(t), \quad t \in J, \tag{5}$$

where

$$g(t_k) = \int_0^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{\alpha-1}\mathcal{S}(t_k - s)f(s, x(s))\,ds, \tag{6}$$

$$g(t) = \int_0^{t} (t - s)^{\alpha-1}\mathcal{S}(t - s)f(s, x(s))\,ds, \quad t \in J. \tag{7}$$

Remark 2.6.
Due to [36] a mild solution to fractional evolution equation (1) with the initial condition is $x(t) = \mathcal{T}(t)x(0) + g(t)$, so taking into account our nonlocal condition, we get

$$x(0) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k \mathcal{T}(t_k)x(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k g(t_k).$$

So $x(0) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k B(g(t_k))$ and hence $x(t) = \mathcal{T}(t)\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k B(g(t_k)) + g(t)$, it is exactly (5).

3. First existence result

Our first existence result is based on the well-known Schaefer fixed point theorem [28].

Theorem 3.1.
Let $F: X \to X$ be a continuous mapping of X into X which is compact on each bounded subset of X. Then either

(i) *the equation $x = \lambda Fx$ has a solution for $\lambda = 1$, or*

(ii) *the set $\{x \in X : x = \lambda Fx$ for some $\lambda \in (0,1)\}$ is unbounded.*

In this section, we will study our problem under the following assumptions:

(H_1) $f: J \times X \to X$ satisfies the Carathéodory conditions.

(H_2) There is a function h such that $I_{0,t}^{\alpha}h(t)$ exists for all $t \in J$ and $I_{0,\cdot}^{\alpha}h(\cdot) \in C([0,1],\mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\lim_{t\to 0^+} I_{0,t}^{\alpha}h(t) = 0$ and a nondecreasing continuous function $\Omega: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\|f(t,x)\| \leq h(t)\Omega(\|x\|)$$

for all $x \in X$ and for almost every $t \in J$.

Remark 3.2.
In our previous works [34, 36], we assumed that there exists a function $h \in L^{1/\alpha_1}(J,\mathbb{R}^+)$, $\alpha_1 \in [0,\alpha)$, where $L^p(J,\mathbb{R}^+)$ denotes the Banach space of all Lebesgue measurable functions $h: J \to \mathbb{R}^+$ with the norm of h given by

$$\|h\|_{L^p(J,\mathbb{R}^+)} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_J |h(t)|^{1/p}dt\right)^p, & 1 < p < \infty, \\ \inf\limits_{\mu(\bar{J})=0} \sup\limits_{t \in J-\bar{J}} |h(t)|, & p = \infty, \end{cases}$$

ISSN:0976-0172

Journal of Bioscience And Technology
www.jbstonline.com

where $\mu(\bar{J})$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\bar{J}$. However, it is not difficult to verify that the old (strong) condition $h \in L^{1/\alpha_1}(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $\alpha_1 \in [0, \alpha)$, implies a new (weak) condition $I^{\alpha}_{0,t} h(\cdot) \in C((0,1], \mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\lim_{t \to 0^+} I^{\alpha}_{0,t} h(t) = 0$.

(H_3) The inequality $\limsup_{\rho \to \infty} \rho \left(M^2 B \Omega(\rho) \sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_k| I^{\alpha}_{0,t_k} h(t_k) + M\Omega(\rho) \sup_{t \in J} I^{\alpha}_{0,t} h(t) \right)^{-1} > 1$ holds.

(H_4) $T(t)$ is compact for each $t > 0$.

We consider the following problem:

$$^{C}D^{\alpha}_{0,t} x(t) = Ax(t) + \lambda f(t, x(t)), \quad \alpha \in (0,1], \quad \lambda, t \in J, \qquad x(0) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k x(t_k).$$

Define an operator $F: C(J,X) \to C(J,X)$ as follows:

$$(Fx)(t) = (F_1 x)(t) + (F_2 x)(t), \qquad t \in J,$$

where $F_i: C(J,X) \to C(J,X)$, $i = 1, 2$, are given by the formulas

$$(F_1 x)(t) = \mathcal{T}(t) \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k B(g(t_k)), \qquad (F_2 x)(t) = g(t),$$

where B is the operator defined in (2), $g(t_k)$ is defined in (6) and $g(t)$ is defined in (7). Obviously, a mild solution equation (8) is a solution to the operator equation

$$x = \lambda F x$$

and conversely. Thus, we can apply the Schaefer fixed point theorem to derive the existence of solutions to equation

Lemma 3.3.

There exists a constant $R^* > 0$ independent of the parameter $\lambda \in J$ such that $\|x\|_C \le R^*$ for every solution x equation (9).

Proof. Denote $R_0 = \|x\|_C$. Taking into account our conditions and Lemma 2.4 (C_1), (C_2), it follows from (5) that

$$\|x(t)\| \le \|(F_1 x)(t)\| + \|(F_2 x)(t)\| \le M \sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_k| \|B\| \|g(t_k)\| + \|g(t)\|, \qquad t \in J.$$

Note that

$$\|g(t_k)\| \le \int_0^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{\alpha-1} \|\mathcal{S}(t_k - s)\| \|f(s, x(s))\| \, ds \le \frac{M}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) \Omega(\|x\|_C) \, ds$$
$$\le \frac{M\Omega(R_0)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) \, ds = M\Omega(R_0) I^{\alpha}_{0,t_k} h(t_k), \qquad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m,$$

and

$$\|g(t)\| \le \frac{M\Omega(R_0)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{t} (t - s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) \, ds = M\Omega(R_0) \sup_{t \in J} I^{\alpha}_{0,t} h(t), \qquad t \in J.$$

From (10)–(11), one has

$$R_0 = \|x\|_C \le M^2 \|B\| \Omega(R_0) \sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_k| I^{\alpha}_{0,t_k} h(t_k) + M\Omega(R_0) \sup_{t \in J} I^{\alpha}_{0,t} h(t), \qquad t \in J,$$

which implies

$$R_0 \left(M^2 \|B\| \Omega(R_0) \sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_k| I^{\alpha}_{0,t_k} h(t_k) + M\Omega(R_0) \sup_{t \in J} I^{\alpha}_{0,t} h(t) \right)^{-1} \le 1. \qquad (12)$$

However, according to (H_3), there exists $R^* > 0$ such that for all $R > R^*$ we have

$$R \left(M^2 \|B\| \Omega(R) \sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_k| I^{\alpha}_{0,t_k} h(t_k) + M\Omega(R) \sup_{t \in J} I^{\alpha}_{0,t} h(t) \right)^{-1} > 1. \qquad (13)$$

Now, comparing (12) and (13), we deduce that $R_0 \le R^*$. As a result, we find that $\|x\|_C \le R^*$. This completes the proof. □

Let $\mathfrak{B}_{R^*} = \{x \in C(J,X) : \|x\|_C \le R^*\}$. Then $\mathfrak{B}_{R^*}$ is a bounded closed and convex subset in $C(J,X)$. By Lemma 3.3, we can derive the following result.

Lemma 3.4.

The operator F maps $\mathfrak{B}_{R^*}$ into itself.

Lemma 3.5.

The operator $F: \mathfrak{B}_{R^*} \to \mathfrak{B}_{R^*}$ is completely continuous.

Proof. For our purpose, we only need to check that $F_i: \mathfrak{B}_{R^*} \to \mathfrak{B}_{R^*}$, $i = 1, 2$, is completely continuous. Firstly, by repeating the procedure of our previous work (see Step III in the proof of [36, Theorem 3.1]), one can obtain that $F_2: \mathfrak{B}_{R^*} \to \mathfrak{B}_{R^*}$ is completely continuous. We only emphasize that the main difference is that the condition $h \in L^{1/\alpha_1}(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $\alpha_1 \in [0, \alpha)$, is replaced by the new condition $I^{\alpha}_{0,t} h(\cdot) \in C((0,1], \mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\lim_{t \to 0^+} I^{\alpha}_{0,t} h(t) = 0$.

Secondly, one can check that $F_1: \mathfrak{B}_{R^*} \to \mathfrak{B}_{R^*}$ is continuous (by (H_1), (H_2) and Lemma 2.3 (i)) and $F_1: \mathfrak{B}_{R^*} \to \mathfrak{B}_{R^*}$ is compact since $\mathcal{T}(t)$ is compact for each $t > 0$ (by (H_4) and Lemma 2.3 (iii)). □

Now, we can state the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.6.

Assume that (H_1)–(H_4) hold and condition (C_1) (or (C_2)) is satisfied. Then equation (1) has at least one solution $u \in C(J,X)$ and the set of solutions to equation (1) is bounded in $C(J,X)$.

Proof. Obviously, the set $\{x \in C(J,X) : x = \lambda F x, 0 < \lambda < 1\}$ is bounded due to Lemma 3.4. Now we can apply Theorem 3.1 to derive that F has a fixed point in $\mathfrak{B}_{R^*}$ which is just the mild solution to equation (1). □

4. Second existence result

Our second existence result is based on the O'Regan fixed point theorem [26].

Theorem 4.1.

Let U be an open set in a closed, convex set $\mathfrak{C}$ of X. Assume $0 \in U$, $T(\bar{U})$ is bounded and $T: \bar{U} \to \mathfrak{C}$ is given by $T = T_1 + T_2$ where $T_1: \bar{U} \to X$ is completely continuous, and $T_2: \bar{U} \to X$ is a nonlinear contraction. Then either

(i) T has a fixed point in $\bar{U}$, or

(ii) there is a point $x \in \partial U$ and $\lambda \in (0,1)$ with $x = \lambda T(x)$.

ISSN:0976-0172

Journal of Bioscience And Technology
www.jbstonline.com

In addition to (H_1), (H_4) and (C_1) (or (C_2)), motivated by Boucherif and Precup [2, 3], we introduce the follo assumptions:

(H_5) There exists a function h such that $I^{\alpha}_{0,t}h(t)$ exists for every $t \in [0, t_m]$ and $I^{\alpha}_{0,\cdot}h(\cdot) \in C((0, t_m],$ $\lim_{t\to 0^+} I^{\alpha}_{0,t}h(t) = 0$ and a nondecreasing continuous function $\Omega: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\|f(t,x)\| \le h(t)\Omega$ all $x \in X$ and for a.e. $t \in [0, t_m]$, and for every $t \in [t_m, 1]$ there exists a function l such that $I^{\alpha}_{t_m,t}l(t) \in$ $I^{\alpha}_{t_m,\cdot}l(\cdot) \in C([t_m, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$\|f(t,x)\| \le l(t),$$

for all $x \in X$ and for a.e. $t \in [t_m, 1]$. Moreover, Ω has the property

$$r > M\Omega(r)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m}|a_k|\|B\| + 1\right)\sup_{t\in[0,t_m]} I^{\alpha}_{0,t}h(t)$$

for all $r > R_1^* > 0$.

(H_6) There exists a function q such that $I^{\alpha}_{t_m,t}q(t)$ exists for every $t \in [t_m, 1]$ and $I^{\alpha}_{t_m,\cdot}q(\cdot) \in C([t_m, 1],$ $M \sup_{t\in[t_m,1]} I^{\alpha}_{0,t}q(t) \le 1$ and a nondecreasing continuous function $\Psi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\Psi(r) < r$ for $r > 0$ such

$$\|f(t,x) - f(t,y)\| \le q(t)\Psi(\|x - y\|)$$

for a.e. $t \in [t_m, 1]$ and for all $x, y \in X$.

Consider equation (8) again and the equivalent equation

$$x = \lambda T x,$$

where $T: C(J,X) \to C(J,X)$ is defined by $(Tx)(t) = (T_1x)(t) + (T_2x)(t)$, $t \in J$, $T_i: C(J,X) \to C(J,X)$, $i = 1, 2$, by

where $\mu(\bar{J})$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\bar{J}$. However, it is not difficult to verify that the old (strong) co $L^{1/\alpha_1}(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $\alpha_1 \in [0, \alpha)$, implies a new (weak) condition $I^{\alpha}_{0,\cdot}h(\cdot) \in C((0,1], \mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\lim_{t\to 0^+} I^{\alpha}_{0,t}h(t) = 0$.

(H_7) The inequality $\limsup_{\rho\to\infty} \rho\left(M^2 B\Omega(\rho)\sum_{k=1}^{m}|a_k| I^{\alpha}_{0,t_k}h(t_k) + M\Omega(\rho)\sup_{t\in J} I^{\alpha}_{0,t}h(t)\right)^{-1} > 1$ holds.

(H_8) $T(t)$ is compact for each $t > 0$.

We consider the following problem:

$${}^{C}D^{\alpha}_{0,t}x(t) = Ax(t) + \lambda f(t, x(t)), \quad \alpha \in (0,1], \quad \lambda, t \in J, \qquad x(0) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k x(t_k).$$

Define an operator $F: C(J,X) \to C(J,X)$ as follows:

$$(Fx)(t) = (F_1x)(t) + (F_2x)(t), \qquad t \in J,$$

where $F_i: C(J,X) \to C(J,X)$, $i = 1, 2$, are given by the formulas

$$(F_1x)(t) = \mathfrak{T}(t)\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k B(g(t_k)), \qquad (F_2x)(t) = g(t),$$

where B is the operator defined in (2), $g(t_k)$ is defined in (6) and $g(t)$ is defined in (7). Obviously, a mild equation (8) is a solution to the operator equation

$$x = \lambda F x$$

and conversely. Thus, we can apply the Schaefer fixed point theorem to derive the existence of solutions to (

Lemma 3.3.

There exists a constant $R^* > 0$ independent of the parameter $\lambda \in J$ such that $\|x\|_C \le R^*$ for every s equation (9).

Proof. Denote $R_0 = \|x\|_C$. Taking into account our conditions and Lemma 2.4 (C_1), (C_2), it follows from (

$$\|x(t)\| \le \|(F_1x)(t)\| + \|(F_2x)(t)\| \le M\sum_{k=1}^{m}|a_k|\|B\|\|g(t_k)\| + \|g(t)\|, \qquad t \in J. \tag{10}$$

Note that

$$\|g(t_k)\| \le \int_0^{t_k}(t_k - s)^{\alpha-1}\|\mathcal{S}(t_k - s)\|\|f(s, x(s))\|\,ds \le \frac{M}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^{t_k}(t_k - s)^{\alpha-1}h(s)\Omega(\|x\|_C)\,ds$$
$$\le \frac{M\Omega(R_0)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^{t_k}(t_k - s)^{\alpha-1}h(s)\,ds = M\Omega(R_0) I^{\alpha}_{0,t_k}h(t_k), \qquad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m,$$

and

$$\|g(t)\| \le \frac{M\Omega(R_0)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^{t}(t - s)^{\alpha-1}h(s)\,ds = M\Omega(R_0)\sup_{t\in J} I^{\alpha}_{0,t}h(t), \qquad t \in J. \tag{11}$$

From (10)–(11), one has

$$R_0 = \|x\|_C \le M^2\|B\|\Omega(R_0)\sum_{k=1}^{m}|a_k| I^{\alpha}_{0,t_k}h(t_k) + M\Omega(R_0)\sup_{t\in J} I^{\alpha}_{0,t}h(t), \qquad t \in J,$$

$$(T_1x)(t) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{T}(t)\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k B(g(t_k)) + g(t), & t \in [0, t_m), \\ \mathfrak{T}(t)\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k B(g(t_k)) + \int_0^{t_m}(t - s)^{\alpha-1}\mathcal{S}(t - s)f(s, x(s))\,ds, & t \in [t_m, 1], \end{cases}$$

$$(T_2x)(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in [0, t_m), \\ \int_{t_m}^{t}(t - s)^{\alpha-1}\mathcal{S}(t - s)f(s, x(s))\,ds, & t \in [t_m, 1]. \end{cases}$$

We first prove that solutions to equation (16) are a priori bounded.

Lemma 4.2.

There exist $R_i^* > 0$, $i = 1, 2$, independent of the parameter λ, such that $\|x\|_{C([0,t_m],X)} \le R_1^*$ and $\|x\|_{C([t_m,1],X)} \le R_2^*$, that is $\|x\|_C \le R^* = \max\{R_1^*, R_2^*\}$ for every solution x of the equation (16).

Proof. **Case 1.** We prove that there exists $R_1^* > 0$ such that $\|x\|_{C([0,t_m],X)} \le R_1^*$. For $t \in [0, t_m]$ and $\lambda \in J$, denote $R_{[0,t_m]} = \|x\|_{C([0,t_m],X)}$, we have

$$\|x(t)\| \le \lambda\|(T_1x)(t)\| + \|(T_2x)(t)\| \le M\sum_{k=1}^{m}|a_k|\|B\|\|g(t_k)\| + \|g(t)\|$$
$$\le M\sum_{k=1}^{m}|a_k|\|B\|\frac{M}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^{t_k}(t_k - s)^{\alpha-1}h(s)\Omega(R_{[0,t_m]})\,ds + \frac{M}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^{t}(t - s)^{\alpha-1}h(s)\Omega(R_{[0,t_m]})\,ds$$
$$\le M\Omega(R_{[0,t_m]})\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m}|a_k|\|B\| + 1\right)\sup_{t\in[0,t_m]} I^{\alpha}_{0,t}h(t),$$

ISSN:0976-0172

Journal of Bioscience And Technology
www.jbstonline.com

One can proceed as in the proof of Lemma 3.5 to obtain the following result.

Lemma 4.4.
The operator $T_1 : \overline{\mathfrak{D}} \to C(J, X)$ is completely continuous.

Lemma 4.5.
The operator $T_2 : \overline{\mathfrak{D}} \to C(J, X)$ is a nonlinear contraction.

Proof. From the definition of T_2 we only need to show that $T_2 : \overline{\mathfrak{D}} \to C([t_m, 1], X)$ is a nonlinear (
for any $x, y \in \overline{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $t \in [t_m, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(T_2x)(t) - (T_2y)(t)\| &\leq \int_{t_m}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \left\| \mathcal{S}(t-s)[f(s,x(s)) - f(s,y(s))] \right\| ds \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_m}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} q(s) \Psi(\|x(s)-y(s)\|)\, ds \\ &\leq \frac{M\Psi(\|x-y\|_C)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_m}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} q(s)\, ds \leq \left(M \sup_{t\in[t_m,1]} I^{\alpha}_{t_m,t} q(t) \right) \Psi(\|x -\end{aligned}$$

which implies $\|T_2x - T_2y\|_C \leq \Psi(\|x-y\|_C)$.

Now, we are ready to present the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.6.
Assume that (H_1), (H_4), (H_5) and (H_6) hold and condition (C_1) (or (C_1')) is satisfied. Then equation (1) has at lea
solution $u \in C(J, X)$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 we see that (ii) in Theorem 4.1 does not hold for $U = \mathfrak{D}$. Therefore, from Theorem 4.1,
a fixed point in $\mathfrak{D}$ which is just the mild solution to the equation (1). This completes the proof.

Finally, we try to change the conditions (H_5) and (H_6) to the following parallel conditions:

(H_5') Condition (H_5) is assumed without (14).

(H_6') Denoting $\delta = \lim_{r\to+\infty} \inf \Psi(r)/r \leq 1$, condition (H_6) is assumed in addition with

$$M\delta \sup_{t\in[t_m,1]} I^{\alpha}_{0,t} q(t) < 1.$$

Corollary 4.7.
The existence result in Theorem 4.6 also holds even if (H_5) and (H_6) are replaced by the conditions (H_5') an
respectively.

Proof. Indeed, we can modify Case 2 in the proof of Lemma 4.2 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\|x(t)\| &\leq M \sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_k| \|B\| \frac{M}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_k} (t_k-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s)\Omega(R_1^*)\, ds + \frac{M}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_m} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s)\Omega(R_1^*)\, ds \\ &\quad + \frac{M}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_m}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|f(s,0)\|\, ds + \frac{M}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_m}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} q(s) \Psi(\|x(s)\|)\, ds \\ &\leq M\Omega(R_1^*) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_k| \|B\| + 1 \right) \sup_{t\in[0,t_m]} I^{\alpha}_{0,t} h(t) + \frac{M \sup_{t\in[t_m,t]} \|f(t,0)\| (1-t_m)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \\ &\quad + \frac{M}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_m}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} q(s) (\delta \|x(s)\| + \delta_1)\, ds,\end{aligned}$$

for some $\delta_1 \geq 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}R_2^* = \frac{1}{1 - M\delta \sup_{t\in[t_m,1]} I^{\alpha}_{0,t} q(t)} \Bigg\{ & M\Omega(R_1^*) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_k| \|B\| + 1 \right) \sup_{t\in[0,t_m]} I^{\alpha}_{0,t} h(t) \\ & + \frac{M}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \sup_{t\in[t_m,t]} \|f(t,0)\| (1-t_m)^{\alpha} + M\delta_1 \sup_{t\in[t_m,1]} I^{\alpha}_{0,t} q(t) \Bigg\}.\end{aligned}$$

The rest proof is standard. So we omit it here.

References

[1] Baleanu D., Machado J.A.T., Luo A.C.J. (Eds.), Fractional Dynamics and Control, Springer, New York, 2012

[2] Boucherif A., Precup R., On the nonlocal initial value problem for first order differential equations, Fixed Point Theory, 2003, 4(2), 205–212

[3] Boucherif A., Precup R., Semilinear evolution equations with nonlocal initial conditions, Dynam. Systems Appl., 2007, 16(3), 507–516

[4] Boulite S., Idrissi A., Maniar L., Controllability of semilinear boundary problems with nonlocal initial conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2006, 316(2), 566–578

[5] Byszewski L., Theorems about the existence and uniqueness of solutions of a semilinear evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1991, 162(2), 494–505

[6] Byszewski L., Lakshmikantham V., Theorem about the existence and uniqueness of a solution of a nonlocal abstract Cauchy problem in a Banach space, Appl. Anal., 1991, 40(1), 11–19

[7] Chang Y.-K., Nieto J.J., Li W.-S., On impulsive hyperbolic differential inclusions with nonlocal initial conditions, J. Optim. Theory Appl., 2009, 140(3), 431–442

[8] Deng K., Exponential decay of solutions of semilinear parabolic equations with nonlocal initial conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1993, 179(2), 630–637

[9] Diethelm K., The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations, Lecture Notes in Math., 2004, Springer, Berlin, 2010

[10] Dong X., Wang J., Zhou Y., On nonlocal problems for fractional differential equations in Banach spaces, Opuscula Math., 2011, 31(3), 341–357

[11] Fan Z., Impulsive problems for semilinear differential equations with nonlocal conditions, Nonlinear Anal., 2010, 72(2), 1104–1109

[12] Fan Z., Li G., Existence results for semilinear differential equations with nonlocal and impulsive conditions, J. Funct. Anal., 2010, 258(5), 1709–1727

[13] Fu X., Ezzinbi K., Existence of solutions for neutral functional differential evolution equations with nonlocal conditions, Nonlinear Anal., 2003, 54(2), 215–227

[14] Jackson D., Existence and uniqueness of solutions to semilinear nonlocal parabolic equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1993, 172(1), 256–265

[15] Kilbas A.A., Srivastava H.M., Trujillo J.J., Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, NorthHolland Math. Stud., 204, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006

[16] Lakshmikantham V., Leela S., Devi J.V., Theory of Fractional Dynamic Systems, Cambridge Scientific Publishers, Cottenham, 2009

[17] Liang J., Liu J., Xiao T.-J., Nonlocal Cauchy problems governed by compact operator families, Nonlinear Anal. TMA, 1994, 57(2), 183–189

[18] Liu H., Chang J.-C., Existence for a class of partial differential equations with nonlocal conditions, Nonlinear Anal., 2009, 70(9), 3076–3083

[19] Michalski M.W., Derivatives of Noninteger Order and Their Applications, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.), 328, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, 1993

[20] Miller K.S., Ross B., An introduction to the fractional calculus and differential equations, Wiley-Intersci. Publ., John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1993

[21] N'Guérékata G.M., A Cauchy problem for some fractional differential abstract differential equation with non local conditions, Nonlinear Anal., 2009, 70(5), 1873–1876

[22] N'Guérékata G.M., Corrigendum: A Cauchy problem for some fractional differential equations, Commun. Math. Anal., 2009, 7(1), 11

[23] Nica O., Initial value problems for first-order differential systems with general nonlocal conditions, Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2012, # 74

[24] Nica O., Precup R., On the nonlocal initial value problem for first order differential systems, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., 2001, 56(3), 113–125

[25] Ntouyas S.K., Tsamatos P.Ch., Global existence for semilinear evolution equations with nonlocal conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1997, 210(2), 679–687 On the nonlocal Cauchy problem for semilinear fractional order evolution equations

[26] O'Regan D., Fixed-point theory for the sum of two operators, Appl. Math. Lett., 1996, 9(1), 1–8

[27] Podlubny I., Fractional Differential Equations, Math. Sci. Engrg., 198, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999

[28] Smart D.R., Fixed Point Theorems, Cambridge Tracts in Math., 66, Cambridge University Press, London–New York, 1974

[29] Tarasov V.E., Fractional Dynamics, Nonlinear Phys. Sci., Springer, Heidelberg, 2010

[30] Tatar N., Existence results for an evolution problem with fractional nonlocal conditions, Comput. Math. Appl., 2010, 60(11), 2971–2982

[31] Wang J., Zhou Y., A class of fractional evolution equations and optimal controls, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 2011, 12(1), 262–272

[32] Wang J., Zhou Y., Analysis of nonlinear fractional control systems in Banach spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 2011, 74(17), 5929–5942

[33] Wang J., Zhou Y., Feˇckan M., Alternative results and robustness for fractional evolution equations with periodic boundary conditions, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Diff. Equ., 2011, # 97

[34] Wang J., Zhou Y., Feˇckan M., Abstract Cauchy problem for fractional differential equations, Nonlinear Dynam., 2013, 71(4), 685–700

[35] Xue X., Nonlinear differential equations with nonlocal conditions in Banach spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 2005, 63(4), 575–586

[36] Zhou Y., Jiao F., Nonlocal Cauchy problem for fractional evolution equations, Nonlinar Anal. Real World Appl., 2010, 11(5), 4465–4475 [37] Zhou Y., Jiao F., Existence of mild solutions for fractional neutral evolution equations, Comput. Math. Appl., 2010, 59(3), 1063–107